Different mechanisms of episodic memory failure in mild cognitive impairment
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Abstract

Mild cognitive impairment (MCI), defined as episodic memory impairment beyond what is expected in normal aging, is often associated with hippocampal atrophy (HA) and may represent incipient Alzheimer’s disease. However, recent studies suggest that MCI is very heterogeneous and multiple etiologies likely exist. One possibility is small vessel cerebrovascular disease (CVD). Specifically, we hypothesized that white matter hyperintensities (WMH), an MRI marker for CVD, would lead to impairments in executive control processes critical for working memory that may, in turn, result in episodic memory impairment. To test this hypothesis, we examined a group of subjects clinically diagnosed with MCI and used MRI to further subcategorize individuals as either MCI with severe white matter hyperintensities (MCI-WMH) or MCI with severe hippocampal atrophy (MCI-HA). MCI-WMH, MCI-HA, and matched control subjects each performed a battery of working memory and episodic memory tasks. Results showed that MCI-HA and MCI-WMH were equally impaired on the episodic memory task relative to controls, but MCI-WMH were additionally impaired on tests tapping verbal and spatial working memory abilities and attentional control processes. These results suggest that CVD and hippocampal dysfunction are associated with distinct neuropsychological profiles. Although both syndromes are associated with episodic memory deficits, CVD is additionally associated with working memory and executive control deficits. © 2005 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.
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1. Introduction

Even in the absence of dementia, many elderly persons develop a degree of cognitive loss beyond what is expected in normal aging. There are many characterizations of this intermediate stage of cognition, one of which is mild cognitive impairment (MCI) (Petersen
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et al., 1999). Individuals diagnosed with MCI typically have severe episodic memory deficits with otherwise relatively preserved cognitive and functional abilities. MCI was originally defined to identify individuals who are in the preclinical stage of Alzheimer’s disease (AD). Given that the hippocampus plays a central role in episodic memory and is the initial target of AD pathology, many studies have focused on hippocampal dysfunction as an etiology of MCI. Indeed, there is evidence that individuals with MCI show distinct hippocampal activation patterns (Machulda et al., 2003; Small, Perera, DeLaPaz, Mayeux, & Stern, 1999) and that individuals with MCI and hippocampal atrophy (HA) have greatly increased risk of developing AD (Jack et al., 1999). Such results suggest a strong link between hippocampal dysfunction and MCI.

However, it has also become clear that some individuals diagnosed with MCI do not have hippocampal atrophy and are not in the preclinical stages of AD, suggesting that other pathological processes are at work. Epidemiological studies suggest that MCI is heterogeneous and likely arises from multiple etiologies (DeCarli, 2003a). One possible etiology is small vessel cerebrovascular disease (CVD). Small vessel CVD is commonly seen in elderly individuals and has been associated with increased risk for MCI (DeCarli et al., 2001; Lopez et al., 2003). Rather than causing large cortical strokes, small vessel CVD is associated with small subcortical infarcts and white matter abnormalities.

These white matter changes appear on MRI as white matter hyperintensities (WMH) and are used as a marker for small vessel CVD severity in this study. WMH appear as areas of high signal intensity in deep or periventricular white matter on proton density and T2-weighted MRIs. The underlying pathology is non-specific and includes multiple types of injury to white matter such as reduction in myelination of axons, narrowing of small vessels, and gliosis (see Bronge, 2002, for review). WMH have been associated with hypertension, diabetes mellitus, and history of stroke, three risk factors for CVD (Breteler et al., 1994b; DeCarli et al., 1995).

We propose that WMH related to small vessel CVD may play a role in the episodic memory impairment characteristic of MCI. Given the evidence that WMH may be associated with frontal lobe dysfunction (Breteler et al., 1994a; DeCarli et al., 1995; Gunning-Dixon & Raz, 2003), we predicted that WMH may compromise executive control processes that are critical for working memory, which in turn may lead to episodic memory deficits and a diagnosis of MCI. This theory presupposes that if information cannot be actively maintained and manipulated at an immediate or short-term level, episodic encoding and retrieval impairments may arise. Thus, whereas hippocampal dysfunction may be associated with isolated episodic memory impairments, small vessel CVD may lead to a distinct pattern of deficits that includes both episodic memory impairment and deficits in executive control processes.

To test our hypothesis, we examined a group of individuals who were clinically diagnosed with MCI and used MRI to identify two subgroups of subjects: (1) those with severe WMH without hippocampal atrophy (MCI-WMH), and (2) those with severe hippocampal atrophy without extensive WMH (MCI-HA). Cognitive performance for each of these groups was compared to a group of age-matched control subjects. Importantly, these specific subgroups of MCI subjects were selected for the purpose of trying to understand the different mechanisms by which WMH and HA may lead to episodic memory impairment in MCI. Although there is increasing evidence suggesting that cerebrovascular disease and degenerative processes associated with AD often co-occur, the nature of the interaction is unclear and complex to study due to the difficulty of disentangling the two in standard clinical samples. Thus, we examined a highly selected sample in order to begin to
understand the separate roles that each type of brain lesion may play in producing memory impairment.

The study is divided into two parts. First, we compared performance of MCI patients and controls on the neuropsychological tests that were used to diagnose MCI. This allowed us to determine whether standard neuropsychological tests used widely in clinical practice could distinguish between two MCI groups with different underlying brain pathologies. Second, we compared the performance of these subjects on a battery of behavioral tasks used widely in the cognitive neuroscience literature. The purpose of using these tasks was to attempt to understand the different cognitive mechanisms that underlie memory loss in MCI. The battery included an episodic memory task, two working memory tasks, and a version of the continuous performance test (CPT). We predicted that both groups of MCI participants would show deficits on the episodic memory task, but that the MCI-WMH group would show additional impairments on the working memory tasks and on the CPT.

2. Methods

2.1. Participant selection

This study was approved by the UC Davis IRB. All participants were recruited from the UC Davis Alzheimer’s Disease Center (ADC) and were over the age of 65 years, in stable health. Exclusion criteria were limited to clinical depression, history of cortical strokes, and red–green color blindness. Five of the 11 MCI-HA participants and 6 of the 11 MCI-WMH were on stable doses of cholinesterase inhibitors. All participants received a clinical diagnosis through the ADC of either normal cognition or mild cognitive impairment based on neurological exams and neuropsychological evaluations. The diagnoses of either normal or MCI were adjudicated at a multidisciplinary case conference, based upon all available clinical information. Subjects with MCI all met criteria for an amnestic form of MCI (Petersen et al., 1999) as all had memory complaints (usually verified by an informant), performed poorly on neuropsychological tests of verbal memory (Memory Assessment Scales (MAS) List Learning, Logical Memory I and II, see below), had normal general cognitive function, and intact activities of daily living. All of these MCI subjects were recruited from a pool of individuals who presented to the ADC for cognitive evaluation of their memory complaints. Control subjects were recruited either from the community through advertising or word of mouth, or from spouses of patients seen at the ADC. MRIs obtained within 6 months (mean = 121 days) of clinical diagnosis were then used to categorize participants in the MCI group as either MCI-WMH or MCI-HA. After examination of MRIs, out of a total of 30 individuals clinically diagnosed with MCI, 3 were excluded based on having neither severe HA or severe WMH and 5 were excluded based on the presence of both severe HA and severe WMH. As an additional measure to confirm the presence of cerebrovascular disease in the MCI-WMH group, we also examined the prevalence of hypertension and type II diabetes (see Table 1).

2.2. Imaging

All MR images were collected on a GE 1.5T Signa Horizon LX NV/i System. Three sequences were obtained: a sagittal fast spin echo T2 weighted pulse sequence (TR 3000 ms, TE 94 ms, fov 24 cm, slice thickness 5 mm, slice gap 1 mm, matrix 256 × 224), an axial oblique spin echo T2 weighted sequence (TR 2420 ms, TE 20 ms and 90 ms, 44 slices, fov 24 cm × 24 cm, slice thickness 3 mm, slice gap 0 mm, matrix 256 × 192), and a T1-weighted, coronal 3D spoiled gradient recalled echo, inversion recovery prepped (3DSPGR, IR-prepped) sequence (TE 1.9 ms, flip angle 20°, fov 24 cm, matrix 256 × 256, 124 contiguous slices, slice thickness 1.6 mm).
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Hippocampal volumes were quantified using a region of interest approach as described previously (Wu et al., 2002). In brief, the sampled hippocampus included the CA1–CA4 fields, dentate gyrus, and the subicular complex. Hippocampal boundaries were manually traced on contiguous 1.6 mm T1-weighted coronal slices by a single rater. Intrarater reliability was determined using intraclass correlation coefficients (ICC). ICCs for right and left hippocampi were .98 and .96, respectively. Hippocampal volumes were normalized to total intracranial volume to control for differences in head size.

White matter hyperintensities were rated on proton density weighted images using a semi-quantitative scale (Wu et al., 2002). Ratings were made on contiguous 5mm axial proton density images by a single rater. Intrarater reliability was high (ICC 0.97).

Percentile cutoffs, from a separate population based study of 122 individuals, were used to categorize participants as either having severe hippocampal atrophy or severe white matter hyperintensities (Wu et al., 2002). A 25th percentile cutoff, which corresponded to a normalized value of .126 (hippocampal volume/intracranial volume x100), was used to determine severity of HA. Individuals with hippocampal volumes below this value were classified as having severe HA. A 75th percentile cutoff was used to classify individuals with severe WMH. For this cutoff, WMH volume was assessed as percent of total white matter; individuals with a value greater than 19.375 were classified as having severe WMH. For this study, individuals with neither severe HA or severe WMH were excluded as were individuals with both severe HA and severe WMH.

2.3. Neuropsychological testing

Participants received clinical neuropsychological testing at the UC Davis ADC. The testing was administered as part of a clinical work up used to determine the clinical diagnosis of MCI or cognitively normal. The time interval between neuropsychological testing and behavioral testing in this study ranged from 0 to 896 days (median =86) for the control subjects and 27 to 365 days (median = 107) for subjects diagnosed with MCI. To ensure that no cognitive decline had occurred during that time interval, the next available clinical assessment for subjects with a greater than 6-month gap was evaluated to confirm that there was no change in clinical status. Three control subjects did not receive neuropsychological testing. Data from the remaining 17 control subjects are reported.

The neuropsychological battery included Mini Mental State Exam (MMSE), Wechsler Memory Scale-Revised (WMS-R) Logical Memory I and II, Memory Assessment Scales List Learning, Boston Naming, Block Design, Digit Span, Animal Fluency, and the Geriatric Depression Scale (Yesavage, 1988).

2.4. Behavioral testing

Participants were tested in a single session using a laptop computer, either in the participant’s home or at the ADC, a familiar setting to all participants. Tasks were always administered in the same order: episodic memory study and immediate test, 2-item recognition, 4-item recognition, 6-item recognition, spatial item recognition, 1-back, 2-back, CPT, and delayed object–color association test. Left/right responses for the episodic memory task and working memory tasks were counterbalanced across subject groups.

In general, MCI patients were able to complete behavioral testing without much difficulty or frustration. No subjects were excluded based on poor performance or inability to complete testing. One MCI-HA subject received an incomplete battery of working memory tests due to time constraints (missing data include spatial item recognition, 1-back, 2-back, and CPT). In addition, technical difficulties lead to missing data for one control and one MCI-HA on the delayed episodic memory test and one MCI-WMH on the 1-back task.
2.4.1. Episodic memory task—The episodic memory task was an object–color association task that has been shown in functional imaging studies to be sensitive to hippocampal and prefrontal function (Yonelinas, Hopfinger, Buonocore, Kroll, & Baynes, 2001). Participants were presented with 36 study items, half in red and half in green and were instructed to remember the color of each object. Study items were clip-art images of familiar objects. To facilitate the association between the object and color, participants were instructed to generate an explanation for why the object was presented in that particular color. Immediately after the study session, a memory test was given in which all of the previously studied items were shown in black and the participant was asked to indicate by a left/right button press which color it had been presented in previously. Items were presented in a randomized order. The immediate test was self-paced, and participants received immediate feedback on each item. During the delayed test session, 60 min later, all of the previously studied items were again shown in black at a rate of 3500 ms per picture and participants made left/right button press responses to indicate the studied color.

2.4.2. Working memory tasks—Participants were tested on two verbal working memory tasks and a spatial working memory task that have been widely used in functional imaging studies of working memory processes (Nystrom et al., 2000; Rypma, Prabhakaran, Desmond, Glover, & Gabrieli, 1999; Smith & Jonides, 1999) and have been shown to be sensitive to prefrontal function.

2.4.2.1. Verbal item recognition: Three versions of the item recognition task were used, a low memory load condition (2 letters), a medium load condition (4 letters), and a high memory load condition (6 letters). Letters were chosen randomly from a set of 17 consonants. Each trial consisted of a 2500 ms study presentation (letter set), a 2000 ms delay, and a 2500 ms probe presentation (single letter), followed by a 2000 ms inter-trial interval. Participants were instructed to make a left/right button press to indicate whether the probe letter had appeared in the study set. Each testing session consisted of 32 trials.

2.4.2.2. Verbal n-back: Two versions of the n-back task were used, 1-back and 2-back. Single letters appeared sequentially with a 3000 ms presentation followed by 3000 ms inter-trial interval. During the 1-back task, participants were instructed to make a left/right response to indicate whether the current letter was the same or different as the letter that they saw one back. During the 2-back task, participants made a left/right response to indicate whether the current letter matched the letter that they say two letters back. Each task consisted of 56 trials. Approximately 30% of trials were targets.

2.4.3. Spatial item recognition—This task was a spatial variant of the verbal item recognition task. The study set consisted of four asterisks placed randomly in the field of view around a central fixation point. After a delay, a single probe asterisk appeared on the screen and the participant was instructed to make a button press indicating whether the probe had appeared in the study set. Timing parameters were the same as the verbal item recognition tasks.

2.4.4. Continuous performance test—The CPT has been widely used to test prefrontal cortex function in many areas of clinical research, including schizophrenia and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (Beck, Bransome, Mirsky, Rosvold, & Sarason, 1956; Riccio, Reynolds, Lowe, & Moore, 2002). This version of the CPT, the AX-CPT, was designed to test sustained attention and impulsivity. Randomly chosen letters were presented sequentially on the computer screen at a rate of 750 ms for 500 ms duration. Subjects were instructed to make a button press when they saw the target letter X, but only when it was preceded by the cue letter A. One hundred letter pairs were presented. The frequency of A—
X trials was 70%, with the remaining 30% of trials divided among three distracter conditions: B–X, A–Y, and B–Y (B denotes any letter other than A, and Y denotes any letter other than X).

2.5. Statistical analyses
ANCOVA and Fisher’s protected least significant difference (PLSD) post hoc analyses were used to compare performance measures for all tasks across groups. Age and education were included as covariates.

3. Results
Demographic information, MRI measures of hippocampal volumes and WMH load, and incidence of vascular risk factors for each group are shown in Table 1. Fig. 1 depicts examples of the MRI measures used to subcategorize MCI subjects. Because the gender distribution was not balanced, especially for the control group, we looked for potential gender effects on performance of all of the tasks and did not observe any. Therefore, gender was not controlled for statistically. Although age ($F = 2.353, p = .11$) and education ($F = 2.476, p = .10$) did not differ across groups, they were included as covariates in all further analyses because of trend level differences.

3.1. Neuropsychological assessment
As part of the clinical assessment that lead to diagnosis of cognitive status, 10 MCI-WMH, 11MCI-HA, and 17 age and education matched elderly controls underwent standardized neuropsychological testing with a battery that included tests of episodic memory, executive function, visuo-spatial ability, attention, semantic memory, global cognition, and depression. Table 2 shows performance of MCI-HA, MCI-WMH, and controls on the neuropsychological tests as well as main effect $F$- and $p$-values. The two MCI groups were equally impaired on all episodic memory tests relative to controls: WMS-R Logical Memory I and II, and MAS List Learning, Immediate Recall and Delayed Recall. The two MCI groups did not differ from each other or controls on Digit Span or Boston Naming. MCI-WMH performed worse than the MCI-HA and control groups on Block Design. In addition, the MCI-WMH group performed worse than controls, but not different from the MCI-HA group on Animal Fluency. There were no group differences on the Geriatric Depression Scale.

3.2. Behavioral assessment
We next investigated whether tasks used in cognitive neuroscience studies to assess episodic memory, working memory, and attentional control might be able to differentiate between MCI-WMH and MCI-HA subjects. Participants were tested on an episodic memory task, two verbal working memory tasks, one spatial working memory task, and a continuous performance test.

3.2.1. Episodic memory—We observed a significant between-group difference in accuracy at both the immediate ($F = 14.010, p < .0001$) and delayed test ($F = 11.159, p < .0001$). As shown in Fig. 2, both MCI groups performed worse than the controls but were not different from each other. No significant between-group differences were observed in mean per picture study time or in reaction time for test responses.

3.2.2. Working memory
3.2.2.1. Verbal item recognition: As shown in Fig. 3, there was a between-group difference in accuracy on each of the three load conditions: 2-item ($F = 11.325, p = .0001$), 4-item ($F =
For the 2- and 4-item loads, the MCI-WMH group performed worse than the controls and the MCI-HA groups (p's < .01). The MCI-HA group did not differ from the controls. For the 6-item load, the MCI-WMH group performed worse than controls (p < .01) and the MCI-HA group was intermediate to controls and MCI-WMH, but did not differ from either group. The MCI-WMH group made more omission errors on the 2- and 4-item load conditions than either the MCI-HA or control group (2-item: F = 5.835, p = .0068, post hoc p's < .01; 4-item: F = 3.542, p = .0005, post hoc p's < .02). However, even when trials for which no response was made were excluded, all group differences reported above remained significant (2-item: F = 5.207, p = .01; 4-item: F = 9.443, p = .04). Reaction times for correct responses on the 2- and 4-item tasks differed across group (F = 4.301, p = .02; F = 3.475, p = .04). The MCI-WMH group was slower to respond than both the MCI-HA and control groups (p's < .05). There were no differences in reaction time or omission errors on the 6-item task.

### 3.2.2.2. Verbal n-back

As shown in Fig. 4, we also observed a between-group difference in accuracy on both 1-back and 2-back versions of this task (1-back: F = 5.257, p = .0108; 2-back: F = 9.340, p = .0006). The MCI-WMH group performed worse than both the control and MCI-HA groups on both tasks (p's < .001). The MCI-HA group did not differ from the controls on either version of the task. Again, the MCI-WMH group made more omission errors, but group differences remain even after excluding omission trials. When error types were categorized as either type I (missed targets) or type II (false positives), MCI-WMH showed increases in both types of errors on both the 1-back (p < .01) and 2-back tasks (p < .01).

### 3.2.2.3. Spatial item recognition

Also depicted in Fig. 3, we observed a between-group difference in accuracy (F = 6.694, p = .0039) with the MCI-WMH performing worse than both the MCI-HA and control groups (p's < .02) (Fig. 3). Again, the MCI-WMH group made more omission errors (F = 6.307, p = .0052). When omission trials were excluded, the group differences remained (F = 4.654, p = .01, post hoc p's < .05).

### 3.2.2.4. Continuous performance test

As shown in Fig. 5, the MCI-WMH group performed worse than controls and MCI-HA on the measure of sustained attention (number of target hits/total number of targets) (F = 6.596, p = .0047, post hoc p's < .01). In addition, the MCI-WMH group made more commission errors (response to any item that was not a target) than the controls and MCI-HA groups (F = 6.016, p = .0063, post hoc p's < .007) (Fig. 5). The MCI-HA group did not differ from controls on either measure. Of the different types of commission errors, MCI-WMH made more BY errors (F = 3.452, p = .044) and A errors (responding to the cue) (F = 6.479, p = .0045) than controls and MCI-HA. MCI-WMH also made more BX errors than controls (F = 3.691, p = .0425) with MCI-HA intermediate and not different from either group. Error rates for AY trials did not differ across group.

### 3.3. Summary of results

Standard clinical neuropsychological testing revealed that both MCI groups have significant episodic memory deficits. The MCI groups did not differ from controls or from one another on most of the tests, demonstrating that the MCI groups are indeed very mildly impaired, with deficits generally limited to episodic memory. These findings suggest that these two MCI groups, with differential structural brain abnormalities, were comparable in overall level of cognitive impairment and were broadly similar in their cognitive symptomatology.

As additional confirmation of episodic memory impairment in these MCI subjects, behavioral testing indicated that both MCI groups were equally impaired on the episodic memory tests.
memory tasks relative to controls. The MCI-WMH group was additionally impaired on both verbal and spatial working memory tasks while the MCI-HA group was generally unimpaired on the working memory tasks. The MCI-WMH group was also impaired relative to MCI-HA and controls on measures of sustained attention and response control as measured by the AX-CPT task.

4. Discussion

In the present study, we tested the hypothesis that among individuals diagnosed with MCI, small vessel CVD and hippocampal dysfunction give rise to different profiles of cognitive deficits. We found that although these two groups were similar on standard neuropsychological tests administered at the time of diagnosis of MCI, more detailed testing revealed reliable differences between the two subgroups of MCI subjects. Whereas MCI-HA patients exhibited relatively specific episodic memory impairment, MCI-WMH patients exhibited deficits on episodic memory, working memory, and attentional control tasks. These findings suggest that MCI-WMH subjects, in contrast to MCI-HA subjects, suffered from impaired executive control processes that affect a wide variety of cognitive domains. We discuss these findings and their implications below.

4.1. MCI, WMH, and clinical neuropsychological testing

In this study, we examined the performance of the two MCI groups on standard measures from a battery of neuropsychological tests used widely in clinical practice to screen for cognitive impairment. While the MCI-WMH group showed mild deficits in Animal Fluency and Block Design, these were not judged to be clinically significant and were felt compatible with the clinical diagnosis of MCI, which is made according to clinical criteria rather than a specific set of neuropsychological cutoffs. Thus, despite having very different underlying brain pathologies, MCI-WMH and MCI-HA groups had similar clinical neuropsychological profiles according to the neuropsychological battery used in this study to diagnose MCI.

However, it is important to note that this battery did not include specific tests of executive function, such as the Trail Making Test—parts A and B, Wisconsin Card Sort Test, or the Stroop Test. It is possible, and even likely, that a neuropsychological battery with more extensive tests of executive function would have differentiated the two MCI groups. Although such tests of executive function are not always included in clinical assessment batteries, the recent focus on clinical differentiation of subtypes of MCI (Lopez et al., 2003) will hopefully support a more thorough assessment of such cognitive functions. We recommend that including neuropsychological tests of executive function may prove useful in providing a more complete clinical diagnosis.

Indeed, previous studies addressing the relationship between WMH and cognition have used neuropsychological tests that tap executive function. Several studies report a relationship between WMH and impairment on Trails A and B, Verbal Fluency, and Wisconsin Card Sort Test (Gunning-Dixon & Raz, 2003). However, some inconsistencies exist, with other studies reporting no relationship between WMH and performance on Verbal Fluency and Stroop tasks (Smith, Snowdon, Wang, & Markesbery, 2000; Soderlund, Nyberg, Adolfsson, Nilsson, & Launer, 2003). It is possible that Trails A and B and WCST are more sensitive to the types of executive deficits related to WMH than Verbal Fluency and Stroop, which may tap into various cognitive processes that may be difficult to dissociate and therefore lack sensitivity to detect specific deficits (Boone, Ponton, Gorsuch, Gonzalez, & Miller, 1998). Regardless, it is likely that some standardized neuropsychological tests of executive function would distinguish between the two groups of MCI subjects described in this study. Further research into exactly which clinical tests are best at differentiating subtypes of MCI from one
another is clearly needed, as are investigations into the more routine assessment of working memory and attention tasks as we used.

4.2. MCI, WMH, and prefrontal cortex

Although episodic memory has historically been linked to the hippocampus and surrounding cortices, substantial evidence from neuropsychological and neuroimaging studies suggests that the prefrontal cortex plays a critical role in implementing executive control processes that contribute to normal episodic memory functioning (Ranganath & Knight, 2003). In this study, MCI-WMH subjects were impaired not only on episodic memory tasks, but also on a battery of working memory tasks in both verbal and spatial domains as well as an attentional control task. Our interpretation is that episodic memory failure in MCI-WMH subjects is secondary to a more general impairment in executive control processes.

The underlying mechanism of prefrontal cortex impairment in MCI-WMH is currently unknown. Results from one previous study suggest that abnormalities in frontal lobe metabolism may underlie cognitive deficits in subjects with cognitive impairment and cerebrovascular disease (Reed, Eberling, Mungas, Weiner, & Jagust, 2000). Another recent study found that WMH related to CVD are predominant in the frontal lobes, and that regardless of regional distribution throughout the brain, WMH most severely affects frontal lobe metabolism (Tullberg et al., 2004).

We hypothesize that WMH may reflect disruption of the white matter tracts that connect dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (DLPFC) with its targets. Disruption of these neural circuits, in turn may lead to deficits in executive control processes that impact a wide range of cognitive domains, including episodic memory. Multiple neural circuits exist that if disrupted, may lead to the behavioral deficits observed the MCI-WMH group. For example, lesions affecting connections between the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex and its subcortical targets (Alexander, DeLong, & Strick, 1986) or lesions affecting the long cortico-cortical connections between prefrontal and posterior parietal cortex (Cavada & Goldman-Rakic, 1989; Selemon & Goldman-Rakic, 1988) would be expected to result in impaired working memory and executive control processes (Burruss et al., 2000; Cummings, 1993; Tekin & Cummings, 2002). Disconnection of the prefrontal, retrosplenial, hippocampal circuit may also give rise to deficits observed in MCI (Morris, Pandya, & Petrides, 1999; Petrides & Pandya, 1999).

4.3. Caveats

In this study, we used WMH as a marker for small vessel CVD, and there is substantial evidence supporting this link. WMH are associated with various cerebrovascular risk factors such as hypertension, atherosclerosis, smoking, diabetes, and presence of subcortical lacunar infarcts (see Bronge, 2002, for review). Moreover, recent evidence suggests that WMH may be a stronger correlate of cognitive function than lacunar infarcts, the other commonly used marker for small vessel CVD (Fein et al., 2000; Kwan et al., 1999). We recognize, however, that WMH are non-specific MRI findings for which the underlying etiology is varied, particularly amongst younger individuals (Osborn, 1994). Therefore, our findings likely do not apply to all subjects with extensive WMH. Pathological studies indicate that WMH from vascular disease are common to older individuals (DeCarli, 2003b) and are likely to be associated with MCI (Lopez et al., 2003). Our selection of older subjects with known vascular risk factors, therefore, increases the likelihood that the WMH seen here do accurately reflect small vessel CVD.

Another caveat is that in this initial examination of the effects of HA and WMH in MCI, we limited our sample to individuals who had either severe HA or severe WMH and excluded...
those with neither or both conditions. This amounted to the exclusion of 27% of potential subjects diagnosed with MCI. It is important to emphasize that this sample was not selected to be clinically representative. Rather, the purpose of selecting these specific subgroups was to examine the separate contribution of either hippocampal dysfunction or small vessel CVD to memory impairment in MCI. Inclusion of subjects with both underlying pathologies would have confounded the results, especially given recent evidence suggesting that CVD may interact with Alzheimer’s disease pathology to hasten the onset of dementia (Snowdon et al., 1997; Wu et al., 2002). While investigating this interaction merits further study, it is first important to understand the consequences of each pathology separately.

4.4. Implications for MCI and normal aging

Understanding the pathophysiology of mild cognitive impairment in old age is important for many reasons, most important among them prognosis and treatment. The present results suggest that small vessel CVD can produce the syndrome of MCI by disrupting frontal/executive systems. Longitudinal follow up of these patients is necessary to determine whether or not they are at elevated risk for dementia.

Moreover, the results from this study may be more broadly applicable to normal aging given that CVD is highly prevalent in the aging population. Healthy elderly individuals generally experience some decline in memory processing capabilities and many functional imaging studies have implicated frontal lobe function in contributing to the decline in memory performance (see Grady & Craik, 2000, for review). Importantly, WMH are commonly observed in cognitively normal elderly individuals (Breteler et al., 1994b; de Leeuw et al., 2001), and many studies suggest that compromised frontal lobe function is a consequence of normal aging (Grady & Craik, 2000). Accordingly, it is possible that white matter abnormalities secondary to CVD may be a mechanism for “normal” age-related changes in memory functioning. Further studies, using behavioral methods such as those used here, along with functional and structural neuroimaging techniques, will be necessary to more conclusively link white matter abnormalities to cognitive deficits in the healthy elderly.
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Fig. 1.
MRI variables used to classify MCI subjects with either hippocampal atrophy or cerebrovascular disease. (A) The white circles outline a normal hippocampus and a severely atrophic hippocampus. (B) Minimal and severe white matter hyperintensities.
Fig. 2.
Performance on episodic memory task. Both MCI groups are impaired relative to controls. Error bars represent standard error.
Fig. 3.
Performance on verbal item recognition and spatial item recognition. The MCI-WMH group is impaired on all of the item recognition working memory tasks. Error bars represent standard error.
Fig. 4. Performance on 1- and 2-back. The MCI-WMH group is impaired relative to the controls and MCI-HA group on both tasks. Error bars represent standard error.
**Fig. 5.**
Performance on CPT. (A) Sustained attention measure; (B) impulsivity measure. The MCI-WMH group is impaired relative to controls and MCI-HA on both measures. Error bars represent standard error.

* differs from all other groups, p < .01
**Table 1**

Demographics, brain structure variables, and prevalence of vascular risk factors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Controls</th>
<th>MCI-HA</th>
<th>MCI-WMH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>n</strong></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age</strong></td>
<td>78.65 (6.34)</td>
<td>74.64 (5.70)</td>
<td>77.64 (3.56)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Education</strong></td>
<td>15.6 (2.80)</td>
<td>15.8 (3.46)</td>
<td>13.5 (1.51)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gender (M/F)</strong></td>
<td>3/14</td>
<td>4/8</td>
<td>5/6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Normalized left Hippocampal volume</strong></td>
<td>.151 (.03)</td>
<td>.101 (.03)(^a)</td>
<td>.145 (.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Normalized right Hippocampal volume</strong></td>
<td>.155 (.02)</td>
<td>.107 (.03)(^a)</td>
<td>.147 (.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>White matter Hyperintensity Load</strong></td>
<td>1.66 (2.39)</td>
<td>.371 (.327)</td>
<td>3.89 (1.34)(^a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hypertension (%)</strong></td>
<td>47</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Type II diabetes (%)</strong></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Normalized hippocampal volume= (hippocampal volume/total cranial volume)× 100. White matter hyperintensity load is expressed as percent of abnormal white matter. Data are expressed as mean (S.D.).

\(^a\)Differs from all other groups, \(p < .01\).
Table 2

Performance on neuropsychological battery

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Controls (n = 17)</th>
<th>MCI-HA (n=11)</th>
<th>MCI-WMH (n=10)</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MMSE</td>
<td>29.6 (.502)</td>
<td>27.5 (1.70)^a</td>
<td>27.4 (2.38)^a</td>
<td>9.803</td>
<td>.0004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WMS-R</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Logical Memory I</td>
<td>26.8 (6.53)</td>
<td>13.8 (6.21)^a</td>
<td>13.6 (7.54)^a</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Logical Memory II</td>
<td>24.6 (6.41)</td>
<td>5.4 (6.27)^a</td>
<td>7.1 (8.49)^a</td>
<td>30.1</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>List Learning</td>
<td>61.6 (6.97)</td>
<td>41.9 (11.2)^a</td>
<td>44.9 (10.68)^a</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immediate Recall</td>
<td>10.9 (1.03)</td>
<td>3.5 (3.48)^a</td>
<td>5.2 (4.09)^a</td>
<td>22.4</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delayed Recall</td>
<td>10.9 (.854)</td>
<td>4.3 (3.34)^a</td>
<td>5.8 (5.22)^a</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>&lt;.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boston Naming</td>
<td>55.5 (4.20)</td>
<td>52.9 (5.32)</td>
<td>49.5 (9.48)</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>.143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Block Design</td>
<td>26.6 (6.94)</td>
<td>25.8 (10.07)</td>
<td>15.4 (7.86)^b</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Animal Fluency</td>
<td>16.6 (3.95)</td>
<td>14.0 (2.97)</td>
<td>12.6 (4.17)^a</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digit Span</td>
<td>14.4 (2.99)</td>
<td>14.1 (3.34)</td>
<td>12.2 (2.64)</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>.225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geriatric Depression Scale</td>
<td>1.9 (2.02)</td>
<td>2.6 (1.80)</td>
<td>1.5 (1.27)</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>.43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are expressed as mean (S.D.).

^a^ Differs from controls, p < .005.

^b^ Differs from controls and MCI-HA, p < .005.